

EVALUATION FORM MASTER'S DISSERTATION

MASTER'S PROGRAMMES IN ENGINEERING (-ARCHITECTURE) MASTER'S PROGRAMME IN URBANISM AND SPATIAL PLANNING

ACADEMIC YEAR:	EXAMINATION PERIOD:
----------------	---------------------

Title of the master's dissertation	
Name student	
Programme student	
Dissertation advisory committee (= begeleidingscommissie)	
Dissertation assessment committee (= beoordeelingscommissie)	
Date interim evaluation	
Date dissertation defence	

Assessment of the year's work (to be filled in by the dissertation advisory committee , in consensus)	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	Sufficient (12-13)	Good (14-15)	Very good (16-17)	Excellent (18-20)
Skill/knowledge in the discipline at a master's level							
Creative and solution-oriented thinking							
Effort							
Critical attitude							
Autonomy							
Total ... % of total assessment (default value: 30%)						/20

Assessment of the dissertation or the product (to be filled in by the dissertation assessment committee , in consensus)	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	Sufficient (12-13)	Good (14-15)	Very good (16-17)	Excellent (18-20)
Scientific quality and/or quality of the artistic creation							
Quality of the research method or the creation method (experiment, simulation, design,...)							
Lay-out							
Structure							
Language							
Extended abstract							
Total ... % of total assessment						/20

(default value: 50%)

Assessment of the defence (to be filled in by the dissertation assessment committee , in consensus)	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	Sufficient (12-13)	Good (14-15)	Very good (16-17)	Excellent (18-20)
Presentation (content, structure, lay-out)							
Presentation techniques							
Questions and answers							
Total 20% of total assessment (default value; 10% for presentation; 10% for Q&A)/20						

FINAL MARK (no automatic calculation)*/20	
- ASSESSMENT OF THE YEAR'S WORK	30 % (standard value)/20
- ASSESSMENT OF THE MASTER'S DISSERTATION OR THE PRODUCT	50 % (standard value)/20
- ASSESSMENT OF THE DEFENSE	20 % (standard value)/20

MOTIVATIVE GROUNDS (compulsory**):

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Specific conditions for passing the master's dissertation:
 If the score on one of the four evaluation categories is 7/20 or less than 7/20, the committee can conclude, by consensus, that the student can no longer pass the entire master's dissertation.
 If that is the case, and if the final mark according to the calculation percentages is 10/20 (or more), the final mark will be reduced to the highest failing mark, 9/20.
 If these special conditions apply, a specific argumentation and a fair justification is required based on the final competences of the master's dissertation.

**If the score of one of the four evaluation categories or one of the sub criteria is below 10/20, a specific argumentation is necessary.

ANNEXES

One PDF file can be uploaded in Plato (jury members, other evaluation documents etc.). If there are multiple documents, please combine them before uploading.

DATE:

Autographs Dissertation advisory committee
Autographs Dissertation assessment committee (electronically in Plato)

After the announcement of the examination marks (according to the faculty's academic calendar), the student can consult the assessment form. The student will be able to consult the motivate grounds, the partial marks and the final score.