

EVALUATION FORM MASTER'S DISSERTATION MASTER'S PROGRAMMES IN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

ACADEMIC YEAR:	EXAMINATION PERIOD:
----------------	---------------------

Title of the master's dissertation	
Name student	
Programme student	
Dissertation advisory committee (= begeleidingscommissie)	
Dissertation assessment committee (=beoordelingscommissie)	
Date dissertation defence	

Note: only 1 cross per subtopic (consensus of the committee).

Assessment of the personal features (to be filled in by the dissertation advisory committee , in consensus)	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	Sufficient (12-13)	Good (14-15)	Very good (16-17)	Excellent (18-20)
Creative and solution-oriented thinking							
Effort							
Critical attitude							
Autonomy							
TOTAL 10 % of total assessment				/20		

Assessment of the practical realization (to be filled in by the dissertation advisory committee , in consensus)	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	Sufficient (12-13)	Good (14-15)	Very good (16-17)	Excellent (18-20)
Scientific approach							
Technical realization							
Report on the daily work							
Result							
Innovative aspect							
TOTAL 40 % of total assessment				/20		

Assessment of the dissertation or the product (to be filled in by the dissertation assessment committee , in consensus)	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	Sufficient (12-13)	Good (14-15)	Very good (16-17)	Excellent (18-20)
Scientific aspects							
Technical quality							

Lay-out							
Structure							
Language							
Extended abstract							
Reflection on sustainability							
TOTAL 30 % of total assessment					/20	

Assessment of the public defence (to be filled in by the dissertation assessment committee , in consensus)	Very poor (0-7)	Weak (8-9)	Pass (10-11)	Sufficient (12-13)	Good (14-15)	Very good (16-17)	Excellent (18-20)
Presentation (content, structure, lay-out)							
Presentation techniques							
Questions and answers							
TOTAL 20 % of total assessment				/20		

FINAL MARK (<i>automatic calculation</i>)*/20	
- PERSONAL FEATURES	10 %/20
- PRACTICAL REALIZATION	40 %/20
- MASTER'S DISSERTATION	30 %/20
- PUBLIC DEFENCE	20 %/20

MOTIVATIVE GROUNDS (compulsory**):

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Specific conditions for passing the master's dissertation:

If the score on one of the four evaluation categories is 7/20 or less than 7/20, the committee can conclude, by consensus, that the student can no longer pass the entire master's dissertation.

If that is the case, and if the final mark according to the calculation percentages is 10/20 (or more), the final mark will be reduced to the highest failing mark, 9/20.

If these special conditions apply, a specific argumentation and a fair justification is required based on the final competences of the master's dissertation.

**If the score of one of the four evaluation categories or one of the sub criteria is below 10/20, a specific argumentation is necessary.

ANNEXES

One PDF file can be uploaded in Plato (jury members, other evaluation documents etc.). If there are multiple documents, please combine them before uploading.

DATE:

Autographs Dissertation advisory committee

Autographs Dissertation assessment committee (electronically in Plato)

After the announcement of the examination marks (according to the faculty's academic calendar), the student can consult the assessment form. The student will be able to consult the motivate grounds, the partial marks and the final score.